

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Developing multimodal AI systems for comprehensive threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation

Adebimpe Bolatito Ige ^{1,*}, Blessing Austin-Gabriel ², Nurudeen Yemi Hussain ³, Peter Adeyemo Adepoju ⁴, Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo ⁵ and Adeoye Idowu Afolabi ⁶

¹ *Independent Researcher, Canada.*

² *Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria.*

³ *M and M Technical Services, Nigeria.*

⁴ *Independent Researcher, Lagos Nigeria.*

⁵ *Amstek Nigeria Limited, Nigeria*

⁶ *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*

Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology, 2022, 06(01), 093-101

Publication history: Received on 01 August 2022; revised on 10 September 2022; accepted on 13 September 2022

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2022.6.1.0063>

Abstract

Multimodal AI systems represent a groundbreaking approach to comprehensive threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation, integrating diverse data modalities such as textual, visual, and geospatial information. These systems overcome the limitations of traditional methods by synthesizing complex datasets, enabling accurate predictions and real-time decision-making. This paper explores multimodal AI systems' theoretical foundations, applications, challenges, and opportunities. Key insights include their transformative potential in disaster response, cybersecurity, urban planning, and technical hurdles like data heterogeneity, scalability, and ethical concerns such as privacy and algorithmic bias. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for researchers, developers, and policymakers, emphasizing innovation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the integration of these systems into existing risk management frameworks. By addressing current limitations and leveraging emerging technologies, multimodal AI systems can play a pivotal role in building resilient and sustainable societies.

Keywords: Multimodal AI; Threat Detection; Geospatial Risk Mitigation; Data Integration; Predictive Analytics; Ethical AI Systems

1. Introduction

1.1. Defining the Scope and Significance of Multimodal AI Systems

Multimodal AI systems represent an innovative frontier in artificial intelligence, utilizing data from multiple sources such as text, images, audio, and geospatial data to create holistic solutions. These systems have emerged as powerful tools in addressing complex challenges, particularly in threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation (Cai, Wang, Li, & Liu, 2019). Threat detection involves identifying potential cyber, physical, or environmental hazards that pose risks to human safety, infrastructure, and ecosystems. On the other hand, geospatial risk mitigation focuses on using geographic and spatial data to predict, manage, and reduce risks associated with natural disasters, urban planning, and other location-based vulnerabilities (Ghafir et al., 2018).

Multimodal AI integrates data from diverse modalities, such as satellite imagery, social media analytics, seismic data, and sensor networks, into a unified model. This capability lets it detect patterns, anomalies, and risks that might elude single-modal systems (Walker-Roberts, Hammoudeh, Aldabbas, Aydin, & Dehghantanha, 2020). For instance, a multimodal system can analyze real-time satellite imagery alongside social media posts during a disaster to identify

* Corresponding author: Adebimpe Bolatito Ige

affected areas and coordinate responses effectively. Such systems significantly enhance situational awareness and decision-making, providing an unprecedented edge in addressing threats dynamically and proactively (Djenna, Harous, & Saidouni, 2021).

1.2. Challenges and Gaps in Traditional Threat Detection Methods

Traditional approaches to threat detection and geospatial risk assessment often rely on siloed systems that process a single data type. Though effective in specific scenarios, these systems cannot correlate diverse data sources, leading to incomplete or delayed threat recognition (González-Granadillo, González-Zarzosa, & Diaz, 2021). For example, a traditional disaster management system might focus solely on seismic activity, overlooking valuable social media data that could provide insights into ground-level impacts and resource needs. Similarly, cybersecurity systems often rely on network logs without integrating contextual data from other modalities like user behavior or external threat intelligence feeds (Koroniotis, Moustafa, Schiliro, Gauravaram, & Janicke, 2020).

Another limitation of traditional systems is their inability to process data in real-time effectively. Delayed insights can result in catastrophic consequences in fast-evolving scenarios, such as cyberattacks or natural disasters (Ahmad et al., 2022). Furthermore, many of these systems are not scalable to handle the vast and growing volumes of data generated by modern digital and physical environments. Ethical and operational challenges also persist in traditional systems, including biases in data collection, privacy concerns, and a lack of interpretability (Rasheed et al., 2022). These gaps highlight the urgent need for more advanced, integrative solutions to bridge these divides. Multimodal AI systems offer a promising path forward with their ability to process and synthesize data across domains.

1.3. Objectives and Contributions of the Paper

The primary objective of this paper is to propose a conceptual framework for developing and deploying multimodal AI systems that can address the limitations of traditional approaches to threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation. By exploring theoretical advancements, this paper seeks to provide actionable insights into how such systems can revolutionize the way risks are identified, assessed, and managed.

This paper aims to contribute to the academic and practical discourse by:

- Defining the theoretical underpinnings of multimodal AI and its relevance to threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation.
- Highlighting the potential applications of these systems in various domains, including disaster response, urban planning, and cybersecurity.
- Identifying the technical, ethical, and operational challenges of implementing multimodal AI systems.
- Providing recommendations for future research and policy development to maximize the benefits of these technologies while mitigating associated risks.

Through these contributions, the paper endeavors to position multimodal AI systems as indispensable tools for tackling modern-day challenges. By leveraging their integrative capabilities, society can better anticipate and respond to threats, protect vulnerable populations, and build more resilient systems and communities.

2. Theoretical Framework for Multimodal AI Systems

2.1. Integration of Multiple Data Modalities in AI Systems

Multimodal AI systems are designed to integrate diverse forms of data—visual, textual, geospatial, and more—into a cohesive analytical framework. Data modalities' diversity reflects real-world scenarios' complexity, where threats and risks manifest in varied forms (Bayouhdh, Knani, Hamdaoui, & Mtibaa, 2022). For instance, a natural disaster like an earthquake produces seismic sensor readings (geospatial data), satellite images of affected regions (visual data), and social media updates from impacted populations (textual data). Each modality offers unique insights, and integrating them allows for a more complete understanding of the situation (Di Mitri, Schneider, Specht, & Drachsler, 2018).

Multiple modalities are integrated through techniques that align and fuse data into a common representational space. Alignment involves mapping data from different modalities to a shared domain where their interrelations can be modeled. Fusion then combines this aligned data into a unified format for analysis. For example, in disaster management, multimodal systems might correlate real-time GPS data with drone video footage to identify areas requiring immediate intervention. This integrative approach enhances situational awareness and enables more accurate, real-time decision-making (Zhang, Yang, He, & Deng, 2020).

2.2. Principles of Multimodal Learning and Applications in Threat Detection

Multimodal learning underpins the operation of multimodal AI systems. At its core, multimodal learning seeks to enable machines to process and understand information from diverse sources simultaneously. This learning paradigm relies on three key principles: complementarity, redundancy, and consistency (Qushem, Christopoulos, Oyelere, Ogata, & Laakso, 2021).

- **Complementarity:** Different modalities often provide complementary information. For example, visual data from surveillance footage might reveal suspicious activities, while textual data from social media posts could provide contextual information. By learning from both, the system achieves a more nuanced understanding of potential threats (Xu, Hu, & Mei, 2016).
- **Redundancy:** Some modalities may contain overlapping information that enhances reliability. In cybersecurity, network logs and user behavior analytics might redundantly point to the same anomaly, increasing confidence in detecting a cyberattack (Koroniotis et al., 2020).
- **Consistency:** Multimodal systems ensure that the information extracted from various sources is consistent with the underlying scenario. For instance, during a wildfire, satellite imagery and temperature sensor readings should corroborate each other, enabling more trustworthy assessments (Montello, Arnaudo, & Rossi, 2022).

These principles are especially critical in applications like comprehensive threat detection. By leveraging data from multiple modalities, AI systems can more accurately detect anomalies or threats. For example, in border security, combining video surveillance, motion sensor data, and textual reports from field agents enables the detection of unauthorized crossings that might otherwise go unnoticed using a single modality. Similarly, in urban crime prevention, integrating audio feeds (e.g., detecting gunshots), visual data (e.g., recognizing suspicious activity), and textual incident reports offers a powerful tool for law enforcement agencies (Anderez, Kanjo, Amnwar, Johnson, & Lucy, 2021).

2.3. Conceptual Model for Processing and Synthesizing Information

A conceptual model for multimodal AI systems involves several stages: data acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, data alignment, data fusion, and decision-making. These stages ensure that disparate data sources are harmonized into actionable insights. Multimodal systems collect data from various sources, such as satellite imagery, IoT sensors, audio feeds, textual records, and social media streams. Ensuring the quality and relevance of these data sources is crucial for system effectiveness. The acquired data undergoes preprocessing to address noise, inconsistencies, and format differences. For instance, geospatial data might need normalization to ensure compatibility with textual data in a natural language format (Joshi, Walambe, & Kotecha, 2021).

Relevant features are extracted from each modality. Visual data might yield features like object detection or spatial patterns, while textual data might provide sentiment analysis or keyword extraction. Data from different modalities is mapped to a shared representation to achieve interoperability. This involves embedding models that encode data into a common vector space. For example, deep learning models can align embeddings for images and textual descriptions.

The aligned data is fused into a unified representation, which enables the system to reason across modalities. Fusion can occur at various levels—early fusion combines raw data, while late fusion merges high-level features. Hybrid approaches blend these strategies for optimal results. Finally, the fused data is analyzed to generate actionable insights. Decision-making might involve classification, anomaly detection, or predictive modeling (Levy, Gopalakrishnan, & Lin, 2016). For example, during disaster response, the system might predict the spread of a wildfire and recommend evacuation routes based on fused geospatial and real-time data. This conceptual model exemplifies how multimodal AI systems process complex data landscapes. By synthesizing diverse information, these systems offer unparalleled threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation capabilities (Curnin, Brooks, & Owen, 2020).

3. Applications in Threat Detection and Geospatial Risk Mitigation

3.1. Addressing Real-World Threats with Multimodal AI Systems

Multimodal AI systems have emerged as powerful tools for addressing complex real-world threats by integrating diverse data types into actionable insights. These systems provide a holistic understanding of various risks, enabling decision-makers to respond more effectively and proactively.

In natural disasters like earthquakes, hurricanes, and floods, multimodal AI systems analyze data from sensors, satellite imagery, weather forecasts, and social media to deliver comprehensive insights. For instance, during a hurricane, satellite images visually assess affected areas, while IoT sensors measure rainfall and wind speed in real-time.

Simultaneously, social media platforms contribute crowd-sourced data about local conditions, allowing responders to prioritize high-risk zones. By synthesizing these inputs, multimodal systems can guide emergency teams to areas in immediate need, allocate resources efficiently, and minimize human and economic losses (Linardos, Drakaki, Tzionas, & Karnavas, 2022).

Cybersecurity is another domain where multimodal AI proves indispensable. Cyber-attacks often manifest in multiple forms, such as unusual network traffic patterns, anomalous user behaviors, and malicious code detections. A multimodal AI system integrates these indicators from logs, behavioral analytics, and natural language threat reports to detect and mitigate attacks more accurately. For instance, during a ransomware attack, the system can simultaneously identify suspicious file encryption activities and correlate them with phishing emails to pinpoint the origin and extent of the breach. This real-time, layered approach significantly reduces response times and mitigates damage (Yan et al., 2022).

In physical security, multimodal AI enhances the monitoring of critical infrastructures like airports, power plants, and public transportation systems. These systems combine inputs from surveillance cameras, motion sensors, geospatial data, and personnel reports to detect threats such as unauthorized access, vandalism, or terrorist activities (Olugbade, Ojo, Imoize, Isabona, & Alaba, 2022). For example, a system deployed in an airport can identify a suspicious unattended bag by analyzing video footage while correlating this observation with movement data from nearby passengers and cross-referencing it with security personnel reports. This comprehensive threat identification reduces the likelihood of oversight and enables preemptive actions.

3.2. Potential in Geospatial Risk Mapping

Geospatial risk mapping is a critical application of multimodal AI systems, leveraging geographic data alongside other modalities to identify, predict, and mitigate risks. These systems analyze topographic maps, satellite imagery, sensor data, and population demographics to create dynamic risk profiles for specific regions (Imran, Ofli, Caragea, & Torralba, 2020). In urban planning, multimodal AI supports decision-making by mapping risks such as flooding, earthquakes, or urban heat islands. For instance, the system can identify high-risk flood zones by combining historical flood data with real-time rainfall patterns and satellite imagery. Adding demographic data enables authorities to assess the vulnerability of populations in these areas, informing evacuation plans and infrastructure reinforcements (Kumar et al., 2022).

Agriculture also benefits from geospatial risk mapping powered by multimodal AI. Farmers and policymakers can use these systems to monitor environmental conditions, forecast droughts, and predict pest outbreaks. The system can recommend targeted interventions, optimize resource use, and safeguard food security by analyzing satellite imagery of crops, weather data, and soil sensor readings (Kumar et al., 2022). Multimodal AI is equally transformative in monitoring environmental risks such as deforestation, wildfires, and pollution. For example, in wildfire management, the system integrates satellite thermal images with meteorological data and social media reports to predict fire spread patterns and coordinate firefighting efforts. This approach improves response times and reduces the ecological and economic impacts of wildfires (Barmpoutis, Papaioannou, Dimitropoulos, & Grammalidis, 2020).

3.3. Advancing Predictive Analytics for Risk Mitigation

The predictive capabilities of multimodal AI systems play a vital role in risk mitigation by anticipating potential threats before they materialize. Predictive analytics leverages historical and real-time data to generate models that accurately forecast future risks (Bommasani et al., 2021). In disaster management, predictive models built on multimodal AI analyze patterns from past events, such as storm tracks, population movements, and infrastructure vulnerabilities, to forecast the impacts of upcoming disasters. For instance, a system predicting hurricane damage can combine meteorological forecasts with geospatial data on urban density and building materials to estimate the extent of destruction and prioritize response efforts (Huang, Wang, & Liu, 2021).

In cybersecurity, multimodal AI's predictive analytics helps preemptively identify vulnerabilities and prevent breaches. By correlating historical attack patterns with real-time behavioral data, the system can detect potential intrusion attempts, enabling organizations to implement protective measures proactively. This predictive functionality significantly enhances the resilience of critical digital infrastructures (Dhayanidhi, 2022). Furthermore, in public health, multimodal AI contributes to predicting disease outbreaks by integrating data from diverse sources, including satellite imagery, climate models, and epidemiological reports. For example, a system monitoring malaria risks might combine rainfall data with geospatial patterns of stagnant water and health reports to identify regions at high risk for outbreaks, enabling preemptive interventions such as targeted mosquito control (Legacy, 2022).

4. Challenges and Opportunities

4.1. Technical Challenges

Developing multimodal AI systems for threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation presents significant technical challenges due to the complexity of integrating diverse data modalities. One major issue is data heterogeneity, as these systems must process and combine data from vastly different formats, such as structured geospatial datasets, unstructured textual reports, high-resolution images, and real-time sensor feeds. Ensuring seamless interoperability requires sophisticated algorithms to harmonize this data into a unified analytical framework.

Another technical hurdle is scalability. Multimodal AI systems often require substantial computational resources to process large-scale data streams from diverse sources in real time. For instance, analyzing global satellite imagery while integrating on-ground IoT sensor data demands robust infrastructure, including high-performance computing and efficient data storage solutions. These requirements can limit the accessibility of such systems, especially in resource-constrained environments (Cai et al., 2019).

Data quality and reliability also pose challenges. Incomplete, noisy, or biased data can compromise the accuracy of insights generated by multimodal systems. For example, while valuable for real-time situational awareness, social media data often contains misinformation or lacks verification, which can mislead decision-making. Addressing this issue requires advanced preprocessing techniques and mechanisms for assessing data credibility (Gaw, Yousefi, & Gahrooei, 2022).

4.2. Ethical Challenges

Deploying multimodal AI systems raises critical ethical concerns that must be addressed to ensure responsible use. Privacy and surveillance are primary issues, as these systems often rely on data sources that involve personal information, such as social media activity or geolocation data. Striking a balance between leveraging these data sources for societal benefit and respecting individual privacy rights is a persistent challenge (Martinez-Martin et al., 2021).

Another ethical concern is algorithmic bias. Multimodal AI systems can inadvertently amplify biases present in the training data, leading to skewed insights or unfair outcomes. For example, a system analyzing geospatial data for crime prediction might overemphasize certain neighborhoods based on historically biased data, perpetuating systemic inequities. Developing fair and transparent algorithms is essential to mitigate such risks. Lastly, accountability in decision-making is a significant challenge. Multimodal AI systems are often used in high-stakes scenarios, such as disaster response or security operations, where errors can have severe consequences. Establishing clear accountability frameworks to address potential failures or unintended consequences is crucial for building trust in these systems (Barrett, Hendrycks, Newman, & Nonnecke, 2022).

4.3. Operational Challenges

Integrating multimodal AI systems into existing operational frameworks for risk management presents logistical and organizational challenges. One key issue is interdisciplinary collaboration. These systems require expertise from diverse fields, including data science, geospatial analysis, cybersecurity, and emergency management. Effective collaboration among professionals with varying skill sets is vital for successful deployment.

Adaptability to dynamic and unpredictable environments is another challenge. Threats such as natural disasters or cyber-attacks evolve rapidly, and multimodal AI systems must be designed to adapt to changing conditions. For example, during a rapidly spreading wildfire, the system must update its predictions in real-time as new data becomes available, requiring agile algorithms and flexible infrastructure (Chaterji et al., 2019).

Additionally, cost and resource constraints can hinder the implementation of these systems, particularly in developing regions. Building and maintaining multimodal AI systems involves significant investment in technology, infrastructure, and personnel training. Ensuring equitable access to these technologies is a critical operational challenge that must be addressed to avoid exacerbating global disparities in risk management capabilities (Sharma, Papamitsiou, & Giannakos, 2019).

4.4. Opportunities for Innovation

Despite these challenges, multimodal AI systems offer vast opportunities for innovation and transformation in threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation. One promising avenue is the advancement of fusion techniques. Continued

research in deep learning and neural networks can enhance the ability of systems to synthesize diverse data sources, improving the accuracy and reliability of insights. For example, innovations in multi-attention mechanisms allow systems to prioritize the most relevant features across modalities, enabling more nuanced analysis (Du & Xie, 2021).

The rise of edge computing and IoT integration also presents opportunities for scalability. By processing data closer to its source, edge computing reduces latency and enhances real-time decision-making capabilities. This is particularly beneficial in scenarios requiring immediate action, such as disaster response or critical infrastructure monitoring. Integrating IoT devices with multimodal systems can further expand their reach and effectiveness, creating a seamless data collection and analysis network.

Another area of innovation lies in ethical AI design. Developing transparent algorithms, incorporating fairness metrics, and implementing robust privacy protections can address ethical concerns while fostering public trust. For instance, differential privacy techniques can anonymize data without compromising its utility, allowing systems to leverage sensitive information responsibly (Felzmann, Fosch-Villaronga, Lutz, & Tamò-Larrieux, 2020).

4.5. Integration with Existing Risk Management Frameworks

Multimodal AI systems can significantly enhance risk management frameworks by providing dynamic, data-driven insights that complement traditional methods. For example, in urban planning, these systems can integrate with geographic information systems (GIS) to improve hazard assessments and inform infrastructure development. In cybersecurity, multimodal systems can augment security operations centers by automating threat detection and prioritizing responses based on contextual analysis (Boehm, Khosravi, Vanguri, Gao, & Shah, 2022).

Collaboration between the public and private sectors offers additional opportunities for integration. Governments can partner with technology firms to deploy multimodal AI systems for national security or disaster preparedness, leveraging private-sector expertise and innovation. Similarly, international organizations can use these systems to coordinate cross-border efforts to address global threats like climate change or pandemics. Finally, multimodal AI systems can support policy development by providing evidence-based insights. For instance, predictive analytics derived from these systems can inform policies on disaster risk reduction, urban resilience, or cybersecurity regulations, ensuring that strategies are grounded in comprehensive and reliable data (Cai et al., 2019).

5. Conclusion

Multimodal AI systems represent a transformative approach to addressing complex challenges in threat detection and geospatial risk mitigation. By integrating diverse data modalities—such as textual reports, geospatial information, visual data, and real-time sensor inputs—these systems deliver holistic insights that surpass the limitations of traditional methods. Their ability to synthesize large-scale, heterogeneous datasets enables faster, more accurate, and more effective responses to real-world threats.

The applications of multimodal AI are vast, ranging from natural disaster management and cybersecurity to public health and environmental monitoring. These systems enhance situational awareness, improve predictive capabilities, and support data-driven decision-making across diverse domains. For instance, they enable dynamic risk mapping and real-time resource allocation in disaster response. In cybersecurity, they help detect and mitigate complex, multi-faceted threats by analyzing diverse indicators. Their utility in geospatial risk mapping, from urban planning to environmental conservation, underscores their potential to drive more resilient and sustainable systems.

However, the development and deployment of multimodal AI systems are challenging. Technical barriers such as data heterogeneity, scalability, and reliability must be addressed to ensure their effectiveness. Ethical concerns, including privacy violations, algorithmic bias, and accountability, require careful consideration to ensure responsible use. Operational hurdles, such as interdisciplinary collaboration and resource constraints, highlight stakeholders' need for coordinated efforts.

Despite these challenges, the opportunities for innovation, scalability, and integration with existing frameworks make multimodal AI systems a critical tool for future risk management. With advancements in technology, including edge computing, IoT integration, and ethical AI design, these systems can become more accessible, efficient, and trustworthy.

Recommendations

Researchers should focus on advancing multimodal AI systems' theoretical and technical foundations. This includes developing improved algorithms for data fusion and synthesis, enhancing scalability through innovative architectures, and addressing data quality and noise issues. Collaborative research across disciplines, including computer science, geospatial analysis, and emergency management, will be essential for creating robust solutions. Ethical AI design must also be a research priority. Developing frameworks for fairness, transparency, and accountability in multimodal AI systems is critical to mitigating biases and ensuring responsible use. To address these concerns, researchers should explore techniques such as differential privacy, explainable AI, and fairness metrics.

Developers should prioritize building user-centric multimodal AI systems that are intuitive, adaptable, and efficient. This includes designing interfaces that facilitate real-time decision-making and integrating feedback mechanisms to continuously improve system performance. Emphasis should also be placed on creating scalable solutions that can function effectively in resource-constrained environments. Collaboration with end-users, such as emergency responders, cybersecurity professionals, and urban planners, is essential for tailoring systems to practical needs. Developers should work closely with these stakeholders to understand operational requirements and incorporate domain-specific knowledge into system design.

Policymakers have a critical role in fostering the adoption of multimodal AI systems while ensuring their ethical and equitable use. This involves creating regulatory frameworks that address privacy, security, and accountability concerns without stifling innovation. Policymakers should promote data sharing and interoperability standards to enable seamless integration of multimodal AI systems across sectors. Investment in infrastructure and training is also crucial. Governments and international organizations should allocate resources to build the technical capacity to deploy these systems, particularly in developing regions. Supporting education and training programs in AI and data science can further strengthen the talent pipeline needed for this field.

Policymakers should also encourage public-private partnerships to leverage the expertise and resources of the private sector in developing and implementing multimodal AI solutions. For example, collaborations between technology firms and government agencies can accelerate innovation and expand the reach of these systems. The successful deployment of multimodal AI systems requires collaboration across all stakeholder groups. Researchers, developers, and policymakers should work together to establish shared goals and create a cohesive ecosystem for innovation. Initiatives such as open data platforms and cross-sector knowledge sharing can facilitate this collaboration, driving advancements that benefit society.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed

References

- [1] Ahmad, K., Maabreh, M., Ghaly, M., Khan, K., Qadir, J., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2022). Developing future human-centered smart cities: Critical analysis of smart city security, Data management, and Ethical challenges. *Computer Science Review*, 43, 100452.
- [2] Anderz, D. O., Kanjo, E., Amnwar, A., Johnson, S., & Lucy, D. (2021). The rise of technology in crime prevention: Opportunities, challenges and practitioners perspectives. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04204*.
- [3] Barmpoutis, P., Papaioannou, P., Dimitropoulos, K., & Grammalidis, N. (2020). A review on early forest fire detection systems using optical remote sensing. *Sensors*, 20(22), 6442.
- [4] Barrett, A. M., Hendrycks, D., Newman, J., & Nonnecke, B. (2022). Actionable guidance for high-consequence AI risk management: Towards standards addressing AI catastrophic risks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.08966*.
- [5] Bayouhd, K., Knani, R., Hamdaoui, F., & Mtibaa, A. (2022). A survey on deep multimodal learning for computer vision: advances, trends, applications, and datasets. *The Visual Computer*, 38(8), 2939-2970.
- [6] Boehm, K. M., Khosravi, P., Vanguri, R., Gao, J., & Shah, S. P. (2022). Harnessing multimodal data integration to advance precision oncology. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 22(2), 114-126.

- [7] Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., Altman, R., Arora, S., von Arx, S., . . . Brunskill, E. (2021). On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258.
- [8] Cai, Q., Wang, H., Li, Z., & Liu, X. (2019). A survey on multimodal data-driven smart healthcare systems: approaches and applications. *Ieee Access*, 7, 133583-133599.
- [9] Chaterji, S., Naghizadeh, P., Alam, M. A., Bagchi, S., Chiang, M., Corman, D., . . . Mou, S. (2019). Resilient cyberphysical systems and their application drivers: A technology roadmap. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.00090.
- [10] Curnin, S., Brooks, B., & Owen, C. (2020). A case study of disaster decision-making in the presence of anomalies and absence of recognition. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 28(2), 110-121.
- [11] Dhayanidhi, G. (2022). Research on IoT threats & implementation of AI/ML to address emerging cybersecurity issues in IoT with cloud computing.
- [12] Di Mitri, D., Schneider, J., Specht, M., & Drachler, H. (2018). From signals to knowledge: A conceptual model for multimodal learning analytics. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 34(4), 338-349.
- [13] Djenna, A., Harous, S., & Saidouni, D. E. (2021). Internet of things meet internet of threats: New concern cyber security issues of critical cyber infrastructure. *Applied Sciences*, 11(10), 4580.
- [14] Du, S., & Xie, C. (2021). Paradoxes of artificial intelligence in consumer markets: Ethical challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 961-974.
- [15] Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and engineering ethics*, 26(6), 3333-3361.
- [16] Gaw, N., Yousefi, S., & Gahrooei, M. R. (2022). Multimodal data fusion for systems improvement: A review. *Handbook of Scholarly Publications from the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT), Volume 1, 2000-2020*, 101-136.
- [17] Ghafir, I., Saleem, J., Hammoudeh, M., Faour, H., Prenosil, V., Jaf, S., . . . Baker, T. (2018). Security threats to critical infrastructure: the human factor. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 74, 4986-5002.
- [18] González-Granadillo, G., González-Zarzosa, S., & Diaz, R. (2021). Security information and event management (SIEM): analysis, trends, and usage in critical infrastructures. *Sensors*, 21(14), 4759.
- [19] Huang, D., Wang, S., & Liu, Z. (2021). A systematic review of prediction methods for emergency management. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 62, 102412.
- [20] Imran, M., Ofli, F., Caragea, D., & Torralba, A. (2020). Using AI and social media multimodal content for disaster response and management: Opportunities, challenges, and future directions. In (Vol. 57, pp. 102261): Elsevier.
- [21] Joshi, G., Walambe, R., & Kotecha, K. (2021). A review on explainability in multimodal deep neural nets. *Ieee Access*, 9, 59800-59821.
- [22] Koroniotis, N., Moustafa, N., Schiliro, F., Gauravaram, P., & Janicke, H. (2020). A holistic review of cybersecurity and reliability perspectives in smart airports. *Ieee Access*, 8, 209802-209834.
- [23] Kumar, S., Meena, R. S., Sheoran, S., Jangir, C. K., Jhariya, M. K., Banerjee, A., & Raj, A. (2022). Remote sensing for agriculture and resource management. In *Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability* (pp. 91-135): Elsevier.
- [24] Legacy, S. (2022). *Cyber Forensics and Cyber Crime Investigation: Utilizing AI for Faster and More Accurate Results*.
- [25] Levy, J. K., Gopalakrishnan, C., & Lin, Z. (2016). Advances in decision support systems for flood disaster management: Challenges and opportunities. *Water Resources and Decision-Making Systems*, 81-100.
- [26] Linardos, V., Drakaki, M., Tzionas, P., & Karnavas, Y. L. (2022). Machine learning in disaster management: recent developments in methods and applications. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction*, 4(2).
- [27] Martinez-Martin, N., Luo, Z., Kaushal, A., Adeli, E., Haque, A., Kelly, S. S., . . . Fei-Fei, L. (2021). Ethical issues in using ambient intelligence in health-care settings. *The lancet digital health*, 3(2), e115-e123.
- [28] Montello, F., Arnaudo, E., & Rossi, C. (2022). Mmflood: A multimodal dataset for flood delineation from satellite imagery. *Ieee Access*, 10, 96774-96787.

- [29] Olugbade, S., Ojo, S., Imoize, A. L., Isabona, J., & Alaba, M. O. (2022). A review of artificial intelligence and machine learning for incident detectors in road transport systems. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 27(5), 77.
- [30] Qushem, U. B., Christopoulos, A., Oyelere, S. S., Ogata, H., & Laakso, M.-J. (2021). Multimodal technologies in precision education: Providing new opportunities or adding more challenges? *Education sciences*, 11(7), 338.
- [31] Rasheed, K., Qayyum, A., Ghaly, M., Al-Fuqaha, A., Razi, A., & Qadir, J. (2022). Explainable, trustworthy, and ethical machine learning for healthcare: A survey. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 149, 106043.
- [32] Sharma, K., Papamitsiou, Z., & Giannakos, M. (2019). Building pipelines for educational data using AI and multimodal analytics: A “grey-box” approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(6), 3004-3031.
- [33] Walker-Roberts, S., Hammoudeh, M., Aldabbas, O., Aydin, M., & Dehghantanha, A. (2020). Threats on the horizon: Understanding security threats in the era of cyber-physical systems. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 76, 2643-2664.
- [34] Xu, Z., Hu, C., & Mei, L. (2016). Video structured description technology based intelligence analysis of surveillance videos for public security applications. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 75, 12155-12172.
- [35] Yan, S., Ren, J., Wang, W., Sun, L., Zhang, W., & Yu, Q. (2022). A survey of adversarial attack and defense methods for malware classification in cyber security. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 25(1), 467-496.
- [36] Zhang, C., Yang, Z., He, X., & Deng, L. (2020). Multimodal intelligence: Representation learning, information fusion, and applications. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 14(3), 478-493.